HELDA
HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO
HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

https://helda.helsinki.fi

Rapid and Direct Preparation of Lignin Nanoparticles from Alkaline Pulping Liquor by Mild Ultrasonication

Agustin, Melissa

2019-12-16

Agustin , M , Penttilä , P , Lahtinen , M & Mikkonen , K S 2019 , ' Rapid and Direct Preparation of Lignin Nanoparticles from Alkaline Pulping Liquor by Mild Ultrasonication ' , ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering , vol. 7 , no. 24 , pp. 19925-19934 . https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b05445

http://hdl.handle.net/10138/321466
https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b05445

unspecified
acceptedVersion

Downloaded from Helda, University of Helsinki institutional repository.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article.

This reprint may differ from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

Please cite the original version.

ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering

Subscriber access provided by UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI | University of Helsinki Libraries

Article

Rapid and direct preparation of lignin nanoparticles from alkaline pulping liquor by mild ultrasonication

Melissa B. Agustin, Paavo A. Penttilä, Maarit Lahtinen, and Kirsi S. Mikkonen

ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b05445 • Publication Date (Web): 13 Nov 2019

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on November 20, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Rapid and direct preparation of lignin nanoparticles from alkaline pulping liquor by mild ultrasonication

Melissa B. Agustin[†,], Paavo A. Penttilä[‡], Maarit Lahtinen[†], Kirsi S. Mikkonen[†,§,*]*

[†]Department of Food and Nutrition, P.O. Box 66 (Agnes Sjöbergin katu 2), FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

[‡] Department of Bioproducts and Biosystems, P.O. Box 16300, FI-00076 Aalto University, Finland

[§]Helsinki Institute of Sustainability Science (HELSUS), P.O. Box 65, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

[*]Corresponding authors:

Email: kirsi.s.mikkonen@helsinki.fi

Email: melissa.agustin@helsinki.fi

KEYWORDS: Lignin nanoparticle, ultrasonication, acid precipitation, alkaline pulping liquor, emulsion, BLN lignin

ABSTRACT. The production of lignin nanoparticles (LNPs) has opened new routes to utilization of lignin in advanced applications. The existing challenge, however, is to develop a production method that can easily be adapted on an industrial scale. In this study, we demonstrated a green and rapid method of preparing LNPs directly from a sulfur-free alkaline pulping liquor by combining acid-precipitation and ultrasonication. The combined method produced spherical LNPs, with hierarchical nanostructure and highly negative surface charge, within only 5-min of sonication. The mild, rapid sonication was achieved by sonicating directly without prior drying the acid-precipitated and dialyzed lignin. Optimization of the method revealed the potential for minimizing acid consumption, shortening the dialysis time, and processing directly the alkaline liquor with as much as

20 wt% lignin. The isolated LNPs were stable during storage for 180 days, at a pH range of 4–7 and in a dispersing medium below 0.1 M NaCl. The LNPs also displayed excellent emulsifying properties, stabilizing oil-in-water emulsions. Thus, this simple and energy-efficient method opens a sustainable, straightforward and scalable route to production of solvent-free LNPs, with high potential as interface stabilizers of multi-phase systems in the food and medical industries.

INTRODUCTION

Lignin, with its highly irregular polyphenolic structure, is the most abundant natural aromatic polymer on Earth.[1] Representing 15–40% of the dry weight of lignocellulosics,[2] lignin is one of the major by-products in the pulp and paper industries, with an estimated global production of 50 million tons per year.[3,4] Lignin production is expected to continuously increase as the demand for second-generation biofuel, i.e. biofuels from nonfood sources, is realized. In the USA alone, the mandate to produce 79 billion liters of second-generation biofuels by 2022 translates into the production of 62 million tons of

lignin, with the assumption that the sourced biomass constitutes about 28% lignin and a biofuel yield of 355 L ton^{-1} of dry biomass.[5] In addition, the emerging green technologies in utilizing bio-based materials, especially cellulose from wood, signal that more lignin is yet to be produced when such technologies are adapted on an industrial scale. Despite wide availability, lignin is still considered as an undervalued material, since most of it is only burned for energy recovery in the pulping process.[2] This limitation has been attributed to the heterogeneous structure and properties of lignin, which vary with the source and method of isolation.[6] However, lignin´s complex structure provides it with unique properties, including antimicrobial, antioxidant, UV-blocking, and emulsifying properties.[6,7] These unique properties, coupled with attributes typical for bio-based materials, such as being renewable, sustainable, biodegradable, and abundant, make lignin a promising material for advanced and sophisticated applications.

Exhaustive efforts in recent years have resulted in development of technologies that provide high-value applications for use of lignin. Some notable applications, which are summarized in various reviews, [6–10] include using lignin as binders, adsorbents, precursors for carbon-fiber production, adhesives, emulsifiers, or as engineering

materials in the development of smart composites. Recently, the conversion of lignin into nanoscale particles has become increasingly recognized. The formation of LNPs enables lignin, which is typically insoluble in water, to form a colloidal dispersion in aqueous systems,[7] which is attractive from the industrial point of view. The formation of nanostructured lignin also allows better control of morphology and structure, enabling the produced LNPs to blend well in various host matrices.[10] LNPs, similar to other materials in nanoscale form, exhibit chemical and physical interactions, mainly governed by their surface properties.[11]

Several methods for preparing LNPs from various types of lignin have been reported but only few attempts were made for large scale LNP production. [12,13] The feasibility for scaling up was hindered because most of the current laboratory-scale methods are energy-intensive, consume considerable number of reagents, and produce only a very dilute LNP suspension.[12] Thus, a method that can easily be scaled up to industrial level is still an ongoing quest.

Among the various methods of LNP preparation, acid precipitation, solvent-shifting, and disintegration by mechanical treatment have become increasingly favored. Acid

precipitation, which was first reported by Frangville et al.,[14] involves the gradual addition of an acid to a solution of lignin in aqueous alkali or in ethylene glycol[15]. Solvent-shifting involves dissolving lignin in an organic solvent such as tetrahydrofuran (THF),[16–18] dimethyl-sulfoxide (DMSO),[19] and dioxane[20]. It is then followed by the gradual introduction of an antisolvent, which is often water, enabling the self-assembly of LNPs.[16] Mechanical treatment, such as high-shear homogenization[21,22] or ultrasonication,[23–25] applies force to disintegrate to nanoscale level the lignin usually dispersed in water.

Ultrasonication, when used as a method for LNP preparation, offers the advantage of simplicity and eliminates the use of toxic organic solvents. In this method, ultrasound waves (20 kHz to 10 MHz) are applied to a medium, causing the formation of microscopic bubbles that generate heat and pressure when they collapse during the process called cavitation.[26] The generated pressure is powerful enough to disintegrate lignin particles to nanoscale level; however, very low initial lignin concentrations (< 1 wt%) and at least 1 h of sonication were used in previous reports.[23–25] Long sonication times can result in extensive oxidation, producing radicals that can initiate radical-induced polymerization. Phenolic hydroxyl (OH) groups in lignin can form phenoxy radicals during sonication and

may induce crosslinking reactions.[27] Thus, to avoid radical-induced polymerization, shortening the sonication time is necessary. This could be reached without necessarily increasing the intensity of the applied ultrasound waves by changing the properties of the starting lignin material. In contrast to previous reports in which the starting lignin material was dried before sonication, here we used a never-dried lignin material produced directly from alkaline pulping liquor (APL) by acid precipitation. Our hypothesis is that drying greatly changes the surface properties of the lignin, inducing agglomeration and making lignin recalcitrant to mechanical disintegration. We propose here a high-yield method to recover and subsequently disintegrate to nanoparticles the lignin dissolved in APL. This method is a combination of two conventional LNP preparation methods: acid-precipitation and ultrasonication. The mild ultrasonication is achieved by directly disintegrating without prior drying the acid-precipitated lignin, thereby making the overall process energy efficient, rapid, straightforward, and highly scalable. Thus, this solvent-free method, coupled with the utilization of a sulfur-free BLN (from the initials of the inventor´s names) pulping liquor, which was used for the first time in LNP preparation, paved the way toward

production of green odor-free LNPs that exhibited excellent emulsifying properties when used as stabilizers of oil-in-water emulsions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials

The APL, with approximately 20 wt% lignin from birch (*Betula* L.), was provided by CH-Bioforce Oy (Espoo, Finland). It is a sulfur-free pulping liquor produced through a novel biomass fractionation method known as the BLN process,[28] which enables the isolation of lignin of high purity. A detailed chemical characterization of the acid-precipitated lignin obtained from this APL is available elsewhere.[29] Reagent-grade hydrochloric acid (HCl), nitric acid (HNO_3), and sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) solvent d_6-DMSO was purchased from Eurisotop (Saint-Aubin, France). Rapeseed oil (Keiju, Bunge Finland Ltd, Raisio, Finland) for emulsion preparation was purchased from a local supermarket.

Lignin nanoparticle preparation

The LNPs were prepared by a combined acid precipitation and mild ultrasonication method. In all, 100 g of 3.5 wt% lignin solution, which was prepared from the APL by dilution in deionized water, was stirred vigorously, followed by rapid addition of 100 mL of 0.25 M acid (HCl, HNO_3, or H_2SO_4). The resulting mixture, which had a pH of about 2, was centrifuged for 7 min at 8000 rpm to remove most of the salts and acids, and the residue was collected and diluted with water to maintain the initial concentration. The mixture was then dialyzed against 5 L of distilled water, using Spectra/Por 1 (6–8 kDa molecular-weight cutoff) for 3 days, replacing the water at least six times. The final pH of the suspension only reached about 4 after dialysis. The dialyzed mixture (80 g) was kept in an ice bath, sonicated using a Branson digital sonicator at a frequency of 20 kHz and 80% oscillation amplitude (100 W). A 5-mL sample was collected after 2 min, and the sonication was continued for a total of 5 min.

Hydrodynamic diameter and ζ-potential measurement

The hydrodynamic diameter (D_H) and ζ-potential of the isolated LNPs were determined by the dynamic light scattering (DLS) technique using a Zetasizer Nano-ZS Zen 3600

(Malvern Instruments Ltd., Worcestershire, UK) equipped with a laser (4 mW, 632.8 nm) and backscatter detection at 173° to eliminate the effect of multiple scattering. The optimum concentration was determined by serial dilution of a stock solution containing 40 mg mL^{-1} LNPs. The dilutions showed no significant differences and a concentration of 4 mg mL^{-1} was chosen in the following measurements. At least three measurements with 12–15 runs per measurement were performed for each sample. For the ζ-potential, a folded capillary cell at 25 °C and an applied electric field of 40 V were used. LNP suspensions (4 mg mL^{-1}) with a pH of about 5 were prepared by dilution with deionized water. The electrophoretic mobility data obtained from the measurement were converted to the ζ-potential using the Smoluchowski model. At least five measurements involving 10–15 runs per measurement were performed for each sample. All data were processed using the built-in DTS software (DTS Software, LLC.; Raleigh, NC, USA)

Chemical structure characterization

The chemical structure of the acid-precipitated lignin before and after sonication was characterized by acquiring the Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra and the two-dimensional (2D) heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) 2D NMR spectra.

The FTIR spectra were recorded using a SpectrumOne (PerkinElmer, Turku, Finland), equipped with a universal attenuated total reflectance accessory. A background scan was performed before the sample, which was scanned 16 times at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1}. The spectra were recorded between 4000 and 600 cm^{-1} and the baseline corrected using the built-in software.

For the NMR analysis, performed at 27 °C, the samples (20 mg) were dissolved in d_6-DMSO (0.7 mL). The spectra were acquired using a Bruker Avance 850 MHz III high-definition spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe (Bruker Corp., MA, USA). The experiments were performed using the pulse program hsqcedetgpsisp.2, and the following parameters: size of the FID 2048, pulse width 7.8 µs, number of dummy scans 32, and number of scans 16. The spectral widths used were 12 ppm in the ^{1}H dimension and 220 ppm in the ^{13}C dimension.

Atomic force microscopy

The morphology of the synthesized LNPs was characterized using the Veeco Multimode V (Veeco Instruments Inc., Santa Barbara, CA, USA) atomic force microscope (AFM). The sample was prepared by dropping a dilute aqueous suspension of LNPs onto a freshly cleaved mica plate and drying in air. The imaging was performed under ambient conditions using Si probes (Bruker Corp., CA, USA) with a nominal tip radius of 8 nm, a nominal spring constant of 3 N m^{-1}, and a resonant frequency of 75 kHz. The images were recorded in tapping mode, and basic image plane leveling was applied to remove artifacts caused by sample tilt.

Small-angle x-ray scattering

Small-angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) experiments were carried out on beamline B21 at the Diamond Light Source, equipped with a high-throughput, small-volume liquid-handling robot (BioSAXS; Arinax Scientific Instrumentation, MAATEL SAS, Moirans, France) and an Eiger detector (Dectris AG, Baden-Daettwil, Switzerland). The x-ray wavelength was 0.947 Å and the sample-to-detector distance 2.7 m. A 50-µL volume of HCl-precipitated

LNP with approximate concentrations of 1 mg mL^{-1} and 10 mg mL^{-1} in water were injected in a glass capillary, and the SAXS data were collected as a series of 20 frames with 2-s exposure times while the sample flowed through the capillary. The initial data reduction, including transmission correction, azimuthal integration, and scaling to absolute intensity against a water sample, was performed automatically with Data Analysis WorkbeNch (DAWN) software (http://dawnsci.org/), while SAXSutilities software (http://www.saxsutilities.eu/) was used for subsequent frame averaging, water-background subtraction, and rebinning.

The SAXS intensities were fitted with the unified exponential/power-law model,[30] with two levels of structural hierarchy (N = 2):

$$I(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} G_i e^{\left(-\frac{q^2 R_{g,i}{}^2}{3}\right)} + B_i e^{\left(-\frac{q^2 R_{g,(i+1)}{}^2}{3}\right)} \left(\frac{[erf\,(qR_{g,i}/\sqrt{6})]^3}{q}\right)^{P_i} + C \qquad (1)$$

In the model of Eq. 1, each level of structural hierarchy contributes to the scattering in the form of a Guinier function (term with coefficient G_i) at low q and a power law (term with coefficient P_i) at high q. The radius of gyration $R_{g,i}$ describes the dimensions of the structural elements of level i and corresponds to radius $R = \sqrt{5/3}R_g$ in the case of a solid

sphere. The power-law exponent P_i describes the aggregation state of subunits with radius of gyration $R_{g,(i+1)}$, with higher values of P_i indicating denser packing. A manually adjusted constant background (C) was included in the fits when necessary. Fitting was done using the Differential Evolution Adaptive Metropolis (DREAM) algorithm in SasView 4.2 software,[31] and the reported error estimates for the fitting parameters were based on those given by the software.

Stability test

The stability of the LNPs was assessed by monitoring the changes in D_H and ζ-potential during storage, and with variation in the pH and ionic strength of the dispersing medium. For the storage test, stock LNP suspensions (40 mg mL^{-1}) were kept in a cold room for 6 months. A small volume of the sample was drawn from the stock solution at each time interval and diluted 10-fold for the analysis of D_H. The stability of the HCl-precipitated LNP against variation in pH and ionic strength of the dispersing medium was tested by determining the D_H of the LNP dispersed in solutions with differing pH or ionic strength.

Solutions with various pH were prepared by adjusting the pH with aqueous HCl or NaOH. Aqueous NaCl solutions (0.1 M –1M) were used to vary the ionic strength.

Optimization

The method was optimized by monitoring the D_H and ζ-potential while varying the concentration of the acid, the initial concentration of lignin in the suspension, and precipitation pH. For the variation in acid concentration and initial lignin concentration, the same procedure as in the preparation with different acids was used. The only difference was that the volume of acid solution needed to precipitate the lignin to pH 2 varied when different concentrations of acids were used or when the initial lignin concentration was varied. To determine the optimum pH for precipitation, sequential pH precipitation was performed. A lignin solution (7 wt%, 200 g) prepared from the APL was fractionated sequentially at pH 6, 4, and 2 by adding 0.25 M HCl. All the residues obtained from the various pH values were redispersed in deionized water, dialyzed for 2 days, and then sonicated to produce LNPs. A known weight of the LNP suspension was also freeze-dried to determine the fractional yield at each pH.

Emulsion preparation and characterization

Four formulations of oil-in-water emulsion with 10 wt% rapeseed oil and varying amounts of LNPs (0.15, 0.30, 0.45, and 0.60 wt%) were prepared. A coarse emulsion was initially prepared by mixing for 2 min at 22,000 rpm the mixture (oil, water and LNPs) using an UltraTurrax (T-18 basic; IKA, Staufen, Germany). The coarse emulsion was then passed four times through a high-pressure homogenizer (Microfluidizer 110Y; Microfluidics, Westwood, MA, USA) at a pressure of 88 bar to obtain finer droplet size.

The morphology of the emulsion droplets was characterized using an optical microscope (AxioScope A1; Carl Zeiss Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a built-in camera, within 1 h after the preparation. The stability of the emulsion was monitored using a Turbiscan LAB stability analyzer (Formulaction SA, Toulouse, France), equipped with an optical reading head that scans the entire height of the sample at 40-μm intervals. All measurements were done at 25 °C by scanning three times a turbiscan vial containing

20-mL of emulsion. The first measurement was performed within 1 day of the preparation, and succeeding measurements were done once per day.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of acid type

The type of acid can influence the final properties of the lignin recovered from APL by acid precipitation[21]. Regardless of the type of acid, the size distribution of all LNPs was monodisperse, yielding a single peak in the size distribution chromatogram and had an averaged polydispersity index of 0.20 (Figure 1a). HCl and HNO_3 produced LNPs with an average D_H of about 96 nm after only 2 min of sonication (Figure 1b). The average D_H further decreased to about 80 nm when the sonication time was increased to 5 min. H_2SO_4 showed slightly higher D_H than HCl and HNO_3, but still yielded nanoparticles with less than 100-nm diameter after 5 min of sonication. The process also afforded high yield, enabling a final LNP suspension with more than 3 wt% lignin. The percentage yield of LNPs, calculated from the amount of LNPs recovered after freeze-drying with respect to

the initial amount of lignin in the solution before precipitation, ranged from 86% to 93%, with HCl giving the highest yield.

Varying the types of acid resulted in similar values of the ζ-potential of the lignin before sonication and of the subsequent LNPs after sonication (Figure 1c). The average ζ-potential of the isolated LNPs was about -63 mV and was similar to that of LNPs synthesized from softwood kraft lignin by solvent-shifting method.[16] The highly negative surface charge of lignin has been attributed to abundant phenolic groups and to adsorbed OH groups, typical for hydrophobic molecules in contact with water.[14,16] This highly negative surface charge contributes to the stabilization of particles in colloidal suspension by creating sufficient electric double-layer repulsion. The ζ-potential values, however, became more negative after ultrasonic treatment. This finding suggests that ultrasonication can induce changes in the surface charge of lignin, possibly by exposing to the surface carboxyl or phenolic groups that were initially inside of the lignin aggregates. The increase in the absolute ζ-potential stabilized the resulting LNP suspension, which did not exhibit particle sedimentation similar to the unsonicated lignin suspension during storage.



Figure 1. Effect of acid type on the intensity-based hydrodynamic diameter (D_H) distribution (a), average D_H (b), and average zeta potential (c) of lignin nanoparticles prepared from alkaline pulping liquor by the combined acid precipitation and

ultrasonication method. The error bars represent ± standard deviations of at least three measurements.

Characterization

The isolated LNPs were further characterized for their chemical characteristics, morphology in the dry state, and nanostructure in aqueous systems. All the results presented in Figure 2 pertain to the LNPs produced by HCl precipitation at pH 2 and with 5-min sonication time. The results for the LNPs isolated from HNO_3 and H_2SO_4 precipitation, when available, are provided in the supplementary information.

The chemical characteristics of the acid-precipitated lignin and the subsequent LNPs produced from them were investigated using FTIR and 2D HSQC NMR.

All FTIR spectra (Figures 2a and S1) showed absorption bands typical for lignin (Table S1). No significant changes, such as increase in intensities or shifting of absorption peak to different frequencies, were observed after ultrasonication. The phenolic and aliphatic hydroxyl groups gave the broad absorption band from 3100–3600 cm^{-1}. The sharpening of this absorption band towards high frequency was not observed, which is in contrast to

the findings of Garcia-Gonzalez et al[24]. According to them, ultrasonication induced partial oxidation to lignin resulting in an increase in OH groups that form intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The 5-min we used compared to the 6-h sonication time used by Garcia-Gonzalez et al[24] is possibly mild enough not to cause oxidations. A sharp signal at 1640 cm^{-1} attributed to carbonyl stretching vibrations of intramolecularly hydrogen-bonded carboxylic acids[24] was also not detected, which further confirmed that the mild ultrasonication did not cause oxidation. The strong peak at 1109 cm^{-1}, which can be due to C–O deformation in methoxyl groups, did not show significant decrease in intensity after ultrasonication. Yin et al[25] applied 1-h sonication to a slightly alkaline suspension of lignin and reported a decrease in the absorption intensity at 1105 cm^{-1} due to potential cleavage of C–O bonds. Overall, the FTIR spectra revealed no significant change in the structure of the lignin before and after mild ultrasonication.

The unchanged chemical structure was further confirmed using 2D HSQC NMR analysis of acid-precipitated lignin and LNPs. The spectra of both samples were identical confirming the result of FTIR analysis, which showed that mild ultrasonication did not induce chemical modifications of lignin. Furthermore, the obtained HSQC spectra were

nearly identical compared to the previously published HSQC spectrum of BLN lignin [29]. The 2D HSQC NMR spectrum of LNPs is provided in Figure S2.

The morphology of the isolated LNPs in the dry state (Figures 2b and S3) was investigated using AFM. Due to agglomeration during drying, it was not possible to measure individually the dimensions of the particles. Nevertheless, the results clearly showed that the isolated LNPs were generally spherical, with lateral and vertical dimensions not exceeding 100 nm.

SAXS was used to determine the outer dimensions and inner structure of the LNPs in aqueous suspension at two different concentrations (1 mg mL^{-1} and 10 mg mL^{-1}). The SAXS intensities of the samples (Figure 2c) showed clear indications of structural hierarchy, with shoulder features located slightly below $q = 0.01$ $Å^{-1}$ and approx. $q = 0.05$ $Å^{-1}$, and power-law scattering in between. The intensities were therefore fitted with the unified exponential/power-law model of Eq. 1 (solid lines in Figure 2c, different contributions of the terms shown in Figure S4), which yields information on the dimensions and packing density at each level of structural hierarchy in mass fractal aggregates.[30]

Based on the fitting results (Table S2), the samples consisted of mass fractal aggregates of smaller subunits. The radius of gyration of the aggregates or clusters, as determined from the intensity around the first shoulder (level $i = 1$), was 23–27 nm ($R_{g,1}$ in Table S2). Under the assumption of spherical particles, this would translate into a diameter of about 60–70 nm, which is in excellent agreement with the hydrodynamic radius determined with DLS (~80 nm). The power-law exponent of level $i = 1$ (P_1 in Table S2) was approx. 2.5, indicating that the space inside of the aggregates was not fully filled with solid material. This is in contrast to previous SAXS results for dry lignin[32] and LNPs in water,[33] which showed power-law exponents close to 4 arising from compact particles with smooth surfaces. The dimensions of the subunits forming the aggregates in the current samples was deduced from the second shoulder feature of the SAXS intensities ($i = 2$), yielding a radius of gyration between 4.2 nm and 5.0 nm ($R_{g,2}$ in Table S2) and sphere diameter of 11–13 nm. The power-law exponent of this level (P_2 in Table S2) probably describes the inner structure of the clustering subunits, and its values were in line with rather compact particles or collapsed polymer chains.



Figure 2. Chemical structure, morphology, and nanostructure in aqueous systems of the lignin nanoparticles (LNPs): Fourier-transform infrared spectra in comparison to the original lignin (a), atomic force micrograph of a diluted and air-dried LNP suspension (b), and small-angle x-ray scattering intensities of LNPs in aqueous solution, with fits of the unified exponential/power-law model with two levels of structural hierarchy (i = 1, 2) drawn with solid lines (c).

Stability

The stability of the LNPs over time and with changes in the properties of the dispersing medium is an important property that defines the suitable applications of LNPs.

The D_H of the HCl- and HNO_3-precipitated LNPs remained the same during storage for 180 days (Figure 3a) while that precipitated by H_2SO_4 showed an increasing trend with time. The highly negative charge on the surface of the particles probably prevented agglomeration, leading to a stable LNP suspension. The particle size of the isolated LNPs was pH-dependent (Figure 3b). Agglomeration occurred at pH 2 resulting in the sedimentation of particles, which is not suitable for DLS measurement. The D_H increased but the distribution was still monomodal at pH 3.3. The increase in D_H at pH 3.3 could be attributed to agglomeration induced by intermolecular hydrogen bonding between particles when the carboxyl groups were protonated,[34] which also led to the decrease in

ζ-potential (-30 mV). At pH 4.1–7.1, the LNPs remained stable and showed similar size distribution. The D_H again increased at pH 8.8, even without a significant change in ζ-potential. This increase in particle size at alkaline pH can be ascribed to polyelectrolyte swelling, due to breaking of intramolecular hydrogen bonds and dissociation of ionizable functional groups.[35] At pH higher than 10, dissolution occurred, as indicated by the darkening of the suspension. The isolated LNPs appeared stable at a pH range of 4–7.

The LNPs were also highly affected by the change in ionic strength of the dispersing medium (Figure 3c). At NaCl concentrations up to 0.1 M, the size distribution remained the same. However, at 0.25 M NaCl and higher, agglomeration occurred and the ζ-potential also decreased to -10 mV. The increase in ionic strength possibly compressed the electric double-layer and decreased the repulsive forces between particles, leading to agglomeration.[44] These types of LNP, whose stability is dependent on pH or ionic strength, have found applications in controlled drug delivery systems that release drugs upon changes in pH or ionic strength of the surrounding medium.[11]



Figure 3. Stability of the lignin nanoparticles monitored by the changes in the average hydrodynamic diameter, D_H, as affected by different factors: storage time (a), variation in pH (b), and salt concentration (c) of the dispersing medium.

Optimization

Optimization of the method was carried out to investigate the effects of different preparation conditions on the properties of LNPs. Among HNO_3 and HCl, both of which yielded similar sizes of LNPs, HCl was chosen for further optimization because it provided the highest yield of LNPs among the acids.

The D_H increased with the molar concentration of HCl, but still remained within the nanoscale range (Figure 4a). Diluting the lignin concentration in the APL, with 0.25 M HCl used for precipitation, decreased the D_H (Figure 4b). A similar observation was also reported previously[16] in the self-assembly formation of LNPs in THF by dialysis against water. There, a higher initial lignin concentration allowed a greater amount of lignin to participate in the growth of nanoparticles via the nucleation mechanism. Also in our case, the formation of large aggregates during precipitation was favored when the final concentration of lignin, relative to the combined volume of the alkaline liquor and acid solution, increased. These large lignin aggregates, when subjected to similar ultrasonic conditions, eventually produced large LNPs. Interestingly, for LNPs obtained directly from

the APL without dilution, i.e. with 20 wt% lignin, an increase in sonication time to 7.5 min already yielded D_H of less than 100 nm. Thus, with proper optimization of the sonication parameters, LNPs can be prepared directly from the APL, enabling LNP suspensions with much higher concentrations than those reported from previous studies[14,16,23–25].

Sequential pH precipitation was performed to fractionate the LNPs at pH 6, 4, and 2 to identify the optimum pH for precipitation. The fractional yields at pH 6, 4, and 2 were 3%, 95%, and 2%, respectively. Moreover, only the fraction at pH 4 showed particles in the nanoscale range (Figure 4c). The pH 6 fraction showed the largest particle size, possibly because as the acid was added, large particles precipitated first. The pH 2 fraction also yielded lignin particles with D_H of more than 100 nm and with the lowest ζ-potential (-43 mV), which must have induced agglomeration.

The findings that most of the lignin in the APL can be precipitated at pH 4 highlighted the potential for reducing acid consumption and shortening the dialysis time. Thus, further optimization was carried out to make the process easy to upscale in industry. First, direct pH 4 precipitation, with lower amounts of acid and shorter dialysis times than direct pH 2 precipitation, was performed. Results showed that the size distribution was unimodal and

the average D_H was 75 (± 3) nm, similar to those from direct pH 2 precipitation. To determine if we could completely eliminate dialysis, the suspension from direct pH 4 precipitation was sonicated after decanting the acidic supernatant from centrifugation. The particles from three separate trials were larger and less stable than those obtained with dialysis. The results within the replicated trials were also not reproducible, having in one instance monodispersed LNPs with D_H less than 100 nm. These irreproducible results could be attributed to the difficulty in removing to the same extent the residual salts and acids only by centrifugation and decantation. These residual salts and acids could have altered the ionic strength and pH of the LNP suspension, whose stability was affected by changes in the ionic strength and pH of the dispersing medium. Nevertheless, the optimization revealed that sufficient removal of residual salts and acids by centrifugation and decantation, which probably occurred in one of the trials, would enable also other options than dialysis. Other methods, such as ultrafiltration, could be more feasible on an industrial scale than dialysis.



Figure 4. Average hydrodynamic diameter (D_H) of lignin nanoparticles produced by combined acid precipitation and ultrasonication, as affected by different optimization

parameters: concentration of hydrochloric acid (HCl) (a), initial lignin concentration (b), and sequential pH precipitation (c). The error bars represent ± standard deviations of at least three measurements.

Comparison with dried lignin

The results clearly indicate the relative ease in producing LNPs directly from the APL without the use of organic solvents or extensive mechanical disintegration procedures. The mild ultrasonication was achieved by eliminating the drying step, which potentially rendered lignin resistant to mechanical disintegration. To further demonstrate the effect of drying, we dried and sonicated the same acid-precipitated lignin, following the sonication conditions we used with the never-dried lignin. Even at a concentration of only 2 wt%, no LNPs were formed after 90 min of sonication. The particles showed a bimodal distribution with two peaks of approx. 200 and 600 nm (Figure S5). Clearly, drying induced chemical, physical, or structural changes in the lignin, making it more difficult to disintegrate into nanoparticles. Possibly, in the wet state after acid precipitation and dialysis, the agglomerated lignin, apart from lignin-lignin interactions (H-bonding, Van der

Waals, π-π),[36] maintains its interaction with water. This interaction with water may have resulted in the trapping of water molecules within the aggregates, forming swollen precipitates. During drying, the lignin-water interaction, as we would expect, is removed as the water molecules evaporate. The removal of water possibly caused the lignin aggregates to collapse and form rigid, compact lignin particles, which are more difficult to disintegrate than a swollen precipitate.

This concept of producing nanomaterials by mechanical disintegration from a never-dried bio-based material has also been demonstrated in the preparation of nanofibrillated cellulose.[37,38] The reason was the phenomenon called 'hornification', which is the irreversible aggregation of cellulose microfibrils brought about by the formation of H-bonds, creating fixed domains that are not easily accessible by water.[39] Although the effect of drying on the properties of lignin is not as well studied as in cellulose, we demonstrated that the preparation of LNPs is easily achieved from a lignin that was not dried before ultrasonication.

This simple method, without using hazardous organic solvents and in a relatively short period, already achieved LNP suspensions with an average concentration of 3 wt%.

Concentrations higher than 3 wt% were even achievable if precipitating directly from the APL and with a slight increase in sonication time to 7.5 min. Previous reports of LNP preparation, apart from using toxic organic solvents, mostly used relatively low concentrations of lignin (often < 1 wt%).[11] Aqueous acid precipitation, which yielded LNPs with an average size of 89 nm, was also reported,[14] but the initial concentration of lignin in the alkaline solution was only 0.05 wt%. Direct ultrasonication[23,24] of aqueous lignin dispersions prepared from dry lignin also produced LNPs but the sonication times were 1 h and 6 h for 0.7 wt% and 1 wt% lignin, respectively. High-shear homogenization of a 5 g L^{-1}(~0.5 wt%) aqueous dispersion of an acid-precipitated lignin after freeze-drying required 4 h to produce LNPs. In our case, a very mild ultrasonication procedure was sufficient to disintegrate the never-dried lignin precipitate.

Emulsifying properties

Inherent in the amphiphilic characteristic of lignin, the isolated LNPs showed excellent emulsifying properties. As shown in Figure 5, oil-in-water emulsions with varying amounts of LNPs as emulsifying agents can be produced without additional surfactant. The droplet

size also decreased and became uniform as the LNP content increased. At 0.60 wt% LNP, droplet sizes of about 1 μm, based on DLS, were produced.

The stability of the emulsion over 21 days was also assessed using a turbiscan meter. The backscattered and transmitted lights, which were detected at 45° and 180°, respectively, from the incident light, were used to derive a parameter called the turbiscan stability index (TSI). The higher the value of the TSI, the less stable the emulsion. As seen in Figure 5e, the stability of the emulsion increased with increasing concentrations of lignin, and the trend was prominent during the first week after emulsion preparation. Later, the TSI values of the emulsion with 0.15% LNP plateaued, which does not indicate stability but merely the absence of changes in scattered and transmitted radiations due to creaming. As seen in the profile of the backscattering intensity (Figure 5f), creaming already occurred in the emulsion with 0.15% LNP on day 3. This finding highlights the potential use of the isolated LNPs without additional chemical modification as stabilizers of interfaces in multiphase systems for various applications.



Figure 5. Oil-in-water emulsions with varying amounts of hydrochloric acid-precipitated lignin nanoparticles : (a) 0.15, (b) 0.30, (c) 0.45, and (d) 0.60 wt% with the corresponding optical images (100x objective lens) and the stability of the emulsions represented by the values of the turbiscan stability index (TSI, e) and backscattering intensity (BS%, f).

CONCLUSIONS

A simple green method for LNP preparation from APL was demonstrated using a combined acid precipitation and ultrasonication procedure. The mild ultrasonication of only 5 min was done directly without prior drying the acid-precipitated lignin. The method thus eliminates the drying step usually done in industries when recovering the lignin from

the pulp liquor. Eliminating the drying step favorably rendered the acid-precipitated lignin easy to disintegrate by ultrasonication, making the entire process energy-efficient and rapid. The combined method afforded the production of stable, highly charged, spherical LNPs, with hierarchical nanostructure in aqueous systems. Optimization of the method also showed favorable potential for producing LNPs directly from the APL, i.e. without dilution, enabling a concentrated LNP suspension. Furthermore, acid consumption and dialysis time can be minimized by precipitating at pH 4 rather than at pH 2. Sufficient removal of residual salts and acids is needed to obtain stable LNPs and reproducible results. The isolated LNPs without additional surfactant can emulsify oil in water and form stable emulsions for several days. This method, which does not use hazardous organic solvents or intensive ultrasonication, opens a green, sustainable, and highly scalable approach to producing LNPs directly from APL. Finally, the developed method enables the production of solvent-free LNPs, which can be further explored for their potential as bio-based interfacial stabilizers in the food and medical industries.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. The following files are available free of charge: FTIR spectra and table summarizing band assignments; 2D-HSQC-NMR spectrum of the isolated LNPs; AFM micrographs; contributions of different terms in the fits of the unified model to the SAXS intensities; fitting results of the unified model to the SAXS intensities; size distribution chromatograms of dried and never-dried lignin after ultrasonication.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. KSM[†,§] supervised the work, MBA[†] performed all the experiments (except SAXS and HSQC NMR) and wrote the paper, PAP[‡] and ML[†] analyzed, interpreted, and wrote the discussion for the SAXS and HSQC-NMR data, respectively.

Funding Sources

Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Helsinki and Academy of Finland, grant no. 315768 (P.A.P.)

Notes. The authors declare no conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge Miikka Mattinen for his valuable help with imaging using AFM, Mamata Bhattarai for her assistance in the DLS experiment, and Julia Varis for drawing the TOC abstract. We also thank the NMR core facility supported by the University of Helsinki and Biocenter Finland, the AFM facility at the Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, and CH Bioforce for providing the sample. P.A.P. thanks the Academy of Finland (grant no. 315768) for funding and Dr. Claire Pizzey from Diamond Light Source for conducting the SAXS measurements.

REFERENCES

(1) Xu, Z.; Lei, P.; Zhai, R.; Wen, Z.; Jin, M. Recent Advances in Lignin Valorization with Bacterial Cultures: Microorganisms, Metabolic Pathways, and Bio-Products.

Biotechnol. Biofuels **2019**, *12* (1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1376-0.

(2) Ragauskas, A. J.; Beckham, G. T.; Biddy, M. J.; Chandra, R.; Chen, F.; Davis, M. F.; Davison, B. H.; Dixon, R. A.; Gilna, P.; Keller, M.; et al. Lignin Valorization: Improving Lignin Processing in the Biorefinery. *Science (80-.).* **2014**, *344* (1246843). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246843.

(3) Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Rinaldi, R.; Weckhuysen, B. M. Unlocking the Potential of a Sleeping Giant: Lignins as Sustainable Raw Materials for Renewable Fuels, Chemicals and Materials. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17* (11), 4860–4861. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc90055g.

(4) Nagy, M.; Kosa, M.; Theliander, H.; Ragauskas, A. J. Characterization of CO_2 Precipitated Kraft Lignin to Promote Its Utilization. *Green Chem.* **2010**, *12* (1), 31–34. https://doi.org/10.1039/b913602a.

(5) Langholtz, M.; Downing, M.; Graham, R.; Baker, F.; Compere, A.; Griffith, W.; Boeman, R.; Keller, M. Lignin-Derived Carbon Fiber as a Co-Product of Refining

Cellulosic Biomass. *SAE Int. J. Mater. Manuf.* **2014**, *7* (1), 115–121.

(6) Collins, M. N.; Nechifor, M.; Tanasă, F.; Zănoagă, M.; McLoughlin, A.; Stróżyk, M. A.; Culebras, M.; Teacă, C. A. Valorization of Lignin in Polymer and Composite Systems for Advanced Engineering Applications – A Review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2019**, *131*, 828–849. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.03.069.

(7) Sipponen, M. H.; Lange, H.; Crestini, C.; Henn, A.; Österberg, M. Lignin for Nano- and Microscaled Carrier Systems: Applications, Trends and Challenges. *ChemSusChem* **2019**, *12* (10), 2039–2054. https://doi.org/DOI:10.1002/cssc.201900480.

(8) Beisl, S.; Friedl, A.; Miltner, A. Lignin from Micro- to Nanosize: Applications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18* (11), 2367. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112367.

(9) Kai, D.; Tan, M. J.; Chee, P. L.; Chua, Y. K.; Yap, Y. L.; Loh, X. J. Towards Lignin-Based Functional Materials in a Sustainable World. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (5), 1175–1200. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc02616d.

(10) Figueiredo, P.; Lintinen, K.; Hirvonen, J. T.; Kostiainen, M. A.; Santos, H. A.

Properties and Chemical Modifications of Lignin: Towards Lignin-Based Nanomaterials for Biomedical Applications. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **2018**, *93*, 233–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2017.12.001.

(11) Beisl, S.; Friedl, A.; Miltner, A. Lignin from Micro- To Nanosize: Production Methods. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18* (11), 1244. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18112367.

(12) Bangalore Ashok, R. P.; Oinas, P.; Lintinen, K.; Sarwar, G.; Kostiainen, M. A.; Österberg, M. Techno-Economic Assessment for the Large-Scale Production of Colloidal Lignin Particles. *Green Chem.* **2018**, *20* (21), 4911–4919. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8gc02805b.

(13) Abbati De Assis, C.; Greca, L. G.; Ago, M.; Balakshin, M. Y.; Jameel, H.; Gonzalez, R.; Rojas, O. J. Techno-Economic Assessment, Scalability, and Applications of Aerosol Lignin Micro- and Nanoparticles. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6* (9), 11853–11868. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b02151.

(14) Frangville, C.; Rutkevičius, M.; Richter, A. P.; Velev, O. D.; Stoyanov, S. D.;

600 Paunov, V. N. Fabrication of Environmentally Biodegradable Lignin Nanoparticles.
601 *ChemPhysChem* **2012**, *13* (18), 4235–4243.
602 https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201200537.

603 (15) Yang, W.; Fortunati, E.; Gao, D.; Balestra, G. M.; Giovanale, G.; He, X.; Torre, L.;
604 Kenny, J. M.; Puglia, D. Valorization of Acid Isolated High Yield Lignin
605 Nanoparticles as Innovative Antioxidant/Antimicrobial Organic Materials. *ACS*
606 *Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6* (3), 3502–3514.
607 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.7b03782.

608 (16) Lievonen, M.; Valle-Delgado, J. J.; Mattinen, M. L.; Hult, E. L.; Lintinen, K.;
609 Kostiainen, M. A.; Paananen, A.; Szilvay, G. R.; Setälä, H.; Österberg, M. A Simple
610 Process for Lignin Nanoparticle Preparation. *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18* (5), 1416–
611 1422. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5gc01436k.

612 (17) Qian, Y.; Deng, Y.; Qiu, X.; Li, H.; Yang, D. Formation of Uniform Colloidal Spheres
613 from Lignin, a Renewable Resource Recovered from Pulping Spent Liquor. *Green*
614 *Chem.* **2014**, *16* (4), 2156–2163. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3gc42131g.

(18) Li, H.; Deng, Y.; Liang, J.; Dai, Y.; Liu, B.; Ren, Y.; Qiu, X.; Li, C. Direct Preparation of Hollow Nanospheres with Kraft Lignin: A Facile Strategy for Effective Utilization of Biomass Waste. *BioResources* **2016**, *11* (2), 3073–3083. https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.11.2.3073-3083.

(19) Tian, D.; Hu, J.; Bao, J.; Chandra, R. P.; Saddler, J. N.; Lu, C. Lignin Valorization: Lignin Nanoparticles as High-Value Bio-Additive for Multifunctional Nanocomposites. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* **2017**, *10* (1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0876-z.

(20) Li, H.; Deng, Y.; Wu, H.; Ren, Y.; Qiu, X.; Zheng, D.; Li, C. Self-Assembly of Kraft Lignin into Nanospheres in Dioxane-Water Mixtures. *Holzforschung* **2016**, *70* (8), 725–731. https://doi.org/10.1515/hf-2015-0238.

(21) Nair, S. S.; Sharma, S.; Pu, Y.; Sun, Q.; Pan, S.; Zhu, J. Y.; Deng, Y.; Ragauskas, A. J. High Shear Homogenization of Lignin to Nanolignin and Thermal Stability of Nanolignin-Polyvinyl Alcohol Blends. *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7* (12), 3513–3520. https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201402314.

(22) Matsakas, L.; Karnaouri, A.; Cwirzen, A.; Rova, U.; Christakopoulos, P. Formation of Lignin Nanoparticles by Combining Organosolv Pretreatment of Birch Biomass and Homogenization Processes. *Molecules* **2018**, *23* (7), 1822. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071822.

(23) Gilca, I. A.; Popa, V. I.; Crestini, C. Obtaining Lignin Nanoparticles by Sonication. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2015**, *23*, 369–375. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2014.08.021.

(24) Garcia Gonzalez, M. N.; Levi, M.; Turri, S.; Griffini, G.; Gonzalez Garcia, M. N.; Levi, M.; Turri, S.; Griffini, G. Lignin Nanoparticles by Ultrasonication and Their Incorporation in Waterborne Polymer Nanocomposites. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2017**, *134* (38), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1002/app.45318.

(25) Yin, H.; Liu, L.; Wang, X.; Wang, T.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, B.; Shan, Y.; Wang, L.; Lü, X. A Novel Flocculant Prepared by Lignin Nanoparticles-Gelatin Complex from Switchgrass for the Capture of Staphylococcus Aureus and Escherichia Coli. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* **2018**, *545* (December 2017), 51–59.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2018.02.033.

(26) Peters, D. Ultrasound in Materials Chemistry. *J. Mater. Chem.* **1996**, *6* (10), 1605–1618.

(27) Tortora, M.; Cavalieri, F.; Mosesso, P.; Ciaffardini, F.; Melone, F.; Crestini, C. Ultrasound Driven Assembly of Lignin into Microcapsules for Storage and Delivery of Hydrophobic Molecules. *Biomacromolecules* **2014**, *15* (5), 1634–1643. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm500015j.

(28) von Schoultz, S. Method for Extracting Lignin. WO 2015104460, 2016.

(29) Lagerquist, L.; Pranovich, A.; Smeds, A.; von Schoultz, S.; Vähäsalo, L.; Rahkila, J.; Kilpeläinen, I.; Tamminen, T.; Willför, S.; Eklund, P. Structural Characterization of Birch Lignin Isolated from a Pressurized Hot Water Extraction and Mild Alkali Pulped Biorefinery Process. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2018**, *111*, 306–316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2017.10.040.

(30) Beaucage, G. Approximations Leading to a Unified Exponential/Power-Law

659 Approach to Small-Angle Scattering. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1995**, *28* (6), 717–728.
660 https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889895005292.

661 (31) Doucet, M.; Hie, J.; Gervaise, A.; Bouwman, W.; Campbell, K.; Gonzales, M.;
662 Heenan, R.; King, S.; Kienzle, P.; Jackson, A.; et al. *SasView Version 4.2*, 2019.
663 https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1412041.

664 (32) Vainio, U.; Maximova, N.; Hortling, B.; Laine, J.; Stenius, P.; Simola, L. K.; Gravitis,
665 J.; Serimaa, R. Morphology of Dry Lignins and Size and Shape of Dissolved Kraft
666 Lignin Particles by X-Ray Scattering. *Langmuir* **2004**, *20* (22), 9736–9744.
667 https://doi.org/10.1021/la048407v.

668 (33) Salentinig, S.; Schubert, M. Softwood Lignin Self-Assembly for Nanomaterial
669 Design. *Biomacromolecules* **2017**, *18* (8), 2649–2653.
670 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.7b00822.

671 (34) Lindström, T. The Colloidal Behaviour of Kraft Lignin and Lignosulfonates. *Colloid*
672 *Polym. Sci.* **1980**, *258*, 168–173. https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6622(86)80087-7.

(35) Garver, T. M.; Callaghan, P. T. Hydrodynamics of Kraft Lignins. *Macromolecules* **1991**, *24* (2), 420–430. https://doi.org/10.1021/ma00002a013.

(36) Zhao, W.; Xiao, L. P.; Song, G.; Sun, R. C.; He, L.; Singh, S.; Simmons, B. A.; Cheng, G. From Lignin Subunits to Aggregates: Insights into Lignin Solubilization. *Green Chem.* **2017**, *19* (14), 3272–3281. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7gc00944e.

(37) Abe, K.; Iwamoto, S.; Yano, H. Obtaining Cellulose Nanofibers with a Uniform Width of 15nm from Wood. *Biomacromolecules* **2007**, *8*, 3276–3278.

(38) Iwamoto, S.; Nakagaito, A. N.; Yano, H. Nano-Fibrillation of Pulp Fibers for the Processing of Transparent Nanocomposites. *Appl. Phys. A* **2007**, *89* (2), 461–466. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00339-007-4175-6.

(39) Röder, T.; Sixta, H. Thermal Treatment of Cellulose Pulps and Its Influence to Cellulose Reactivity. *Lenzinger Berichte* **2004**, *83*, 79–83.

TOC Abstract



Synopsis: A facile, rapid and energy-efficient route of preparing lignin nanoparticles directly from alkaline pulping liquor was developed.



Figure 1. Effect of acid type on the intensity-based hydrodynamic diameter (DH) distribution (a), average DH (b), and average zeta potential (c) of lignin nanoparticles prepared from alkaline pulping liquor by the combined acid precipitation and ultrasonication method. The error bars represent ± standard deviations of at least three measurements.

84x215mm (600 x 600 DPI)



Figure 2. Chemical structure, morphology, and nanostructure in aqueous systems of the lignin nanoparticles (LNPs): Fourier-transform infrared spectra in comparison to the original lignin (a), atomic force micrograph of a diluted and air-dried LNP suspension (b), and small-angle x-ray scattering intensities of LNPs in aqueous solution, with fits of the unified exponential/power-law model with two levels of structural hierarchy (i = 1, 2) drawn with solid lines (c).

177x141mm (600 x 600 DPI)



Figure 3. Stability of the lignin nanoparticles monitored by the changes in the average hydrodynamic diameter, DH, as affected by different factors: storage time (a), variation in pH (b), and salt concentration (c) of the dispersing medium.

84x171mm (600 x 600 DPI)



Figure 4. Average hydrodynamic diameter (DH) of lignin nanoparticles produced by combined acid precipitation and ultrasonication, as affected by different optimization parameters: concentration of hydrochloric acid (HCl) (a), initial lignin concentration (b), and sequential pH precipitation (c). The error bars represent ± standard deviations of at least three measurements.

84x216mm (600 x 600 DPI)



Figure 5. Oil-in-water emulsions with varying amounts of hydrochloric acid-precipitated lignin nanoparticles : 0.15 (a), 0.30 (b), 0.45 (c), and 0.60 (d) wt% with the corresponding optical images (100x objective lens) and the stability of the emulsions represented by the values of the turbiscan stability index (TSI, e) and backscattering intensity (BS%, f).

177x95mm (600 x 600 DPI)